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Results of a study, both theoretical and experimental, of a new kind of NQR spin echo technique are reported and discussed.
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The action on a spin system by a multiple-pulse sequence may be described in terms of an effective time-independent field, whose $\omega_{\mathrm{e}}$ and direction $\boldsymbol{n}\left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)$ are determined via the period $t_{\mathrm{c}}$, pulse duration $t_{\mathrm{w}}$, and frequency offset $\Delta$ of the sequence [1, 2]. As has been shown [3], a resonant response of the system can be obtained in the effective field. In this paper results, both theoretical and experimental, on the NQR spin echo in the effective field are presented.

We consider a quadrupolar nuclear spin system acted on by two pulsed magnetic fields: a sequence of pulses (frequency $\omega$, amplitude $H_{1}$ ) along the $X$-axis of the electric field gradient (EFG) tensor and a threepulse low frequency (l.f.) field (frequency $\Omega \ll \omega$, amplitude $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) along the Z -axis of the EFG. In the representation used in [3], the equation of motion of the density operator $\varrho(t)$ is
$i \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varrho(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\left[\Omega_{k}(n \cdot S)+\omega_{2}(t) S_{z}(t) \cos (\Omega t)+H_{\mathrm{d}}(t), \varrho(t)\right]$, where
(i) $\Omega_{k}=\omega_{\mathrm{c}}+2 \pi k / t_{\mathrm{c}}, t_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the multiple-pulse sequence period, $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots$,
(ii) $\omega_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{2}{t_{\mathrm{c}}} \cos ^{-1}\left\{\cos (\varphi / 2) \cos \left(\Delta t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right)\right\}$,
(iii) $n_{x}=\sin (\varphi / 2) / \sin \left(\Omega_{0} t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right), \quad n_{y}=0$,
$n_{z}=\cos (\varphi / 2) \sin \left(\Delta t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right) / \sin \left(\Omega_{0} t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right), \varphi=\gamma H_{1} t_{\mathrm{w}}$, $\gamma$ being the gyromagnetic ratio and $t_{\mathrm{w}}$ the pulse duration of a multiple pulse sequence.

[^0](iv) $\omega_{2}(t)=\tau H_{2}(t)$,
\[

H_{2}(t)= $$
\begin{cases}H_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}, \quad \tau_{0} \leq t \leq \tau_{0}+t_{\mathrm{w}_{2}} \\ & \tau_{0}+\tau_{1} \leq t \leq \tau_{0}+\tau_{1}+t_{\mathrm{w}_{3}} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$
\]

(see Fig. 1, bottom)
$\tau_{0}$ and $\tau_{1}$ are the intervals between the first and second pulse of the three-pulse sequence and between the second and third pulse of this sequence, respectively, and $t_{w_{1}}, t_{\mathrm{w}_{2}}, t_{\mathrm{w}_{3}}$ are the durations of the first, the second, and the third pulses of the sequence,


Fig. 1. Top: Time dependence of the magnetization $M_{x}$, a) in the absence of the l.f. pulse sequence, b) in the presence of this sequence. Bottom: The diagram of the l.f. pulse sequence (c).
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(v) $S_{z}(t)=n_{z}(n \cdot S)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{a_{m} \exp \left(i 2 \pi(m+k) t / t_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot\left(S_{z}-(n \cdot S) n_{z}-i n_{x} S_{y}+\text { C.C. }\right\} \text { with } \\
& a_{m}= 2(-1)^{m} \sin \left(\Omega_{0} t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right) /\left(\Omega_{m} t_{\mathrm{c}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

(vi) $H_{\mathrm{d}}(t)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-2}^{2} b_{m}^{p} H_{\mathrm{d}}^{p} \exp \left(i 2 \pi(m+p) t / t_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$; here $b_{m}^{p}=(-1)^{m} \sin \left(p \Omega_{k} t_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(m \pi+p \Omega_{k} t_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{-1}$ (see [2]).
After the unitary transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varrho}(t)=\exp \left(-i \theta S_{y}\right) \varrho(t) \exp \left(i \theta S_{y}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(n_{z} / n_{x}\right)$, (1) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varrho(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\left[\left(\Omega_{k}+\omega_{2}(t) n_{z} \cos (\Omega t)\right) S_{x}+\omega_{2}(t) n_{x} \cos (\Omega t)\right. \\
& \cdot\left(S_{z} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} \cos \left(2 \pi(m+k) t / t_{c}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+S_{y} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m} \sin \left(2 \pi(m+k) t / t_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right)+\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{d}}(t, \theta), \varrho(t)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the exact solution of (3) it is necessary to consider the infinite harmonic series. Let us restrict ourself to the resonance harmonics, which is correct for the case $\Omega_{k} \gg \omega_{2}$ and $n_{z} \ll n_{x} \sim 1$. In this case (3) may be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varrho^{*}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\left[\Delta_{k} S_{x}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{2}(t) S_{z}+H_{\mathrm{d}}^{*}(t, \theta), \varrho^{*}(t)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{*}(t)=\exp \left(i \Omega S_{x} t\right) \tilde{\varrho}(t) \exp \left(-i \Omega S_{x} t\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Delta_{k}=\Omega_{k}-\Omega$. In the experimental situation to be discussed later the case $\omega_{2} \gg\left\|H_{\mathrm{d}}\right\|$ is realised. In this case the time evolution of $\varrho^{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varrho^{*}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\left[H^{*}(t), \varrho^{*}(t)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{*}(0)=1-\beta \Omega_{k} S_{x} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the inverse temperature of the spin system in the spin-locking state

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(t)=\Delta_{k} S_{x}+H_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{s}}+\omega_{2}(t) s_{z} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[S_{x}, H_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right]=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

After three l.f. pulses the solution of (6) with the initial condition (7) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{*}(t)=U(t) \varrho^{*}(0) U^{*}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$U(t)=D\left(t_{w_{3}}\right) P\left(\tau_{1}-t_{w_{2}}\right) D\left(t_{w_{2}}\right) P\left(\tau_{0}-t_{w_{1}}\right) D\left(t_{w_{1}}\right)$, $t=\tau_{0}+\tau_{1}+t_{w_{3}}$ is the moment of time immediately after the third pulse, and
(i) $D\left(t_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{q}}}\right)=T \exp \left(-i \int_{\tau_{j}}^{\tau_{j}+t_{\mathrm{wq}}} \mathrm{d} t H^{*}(t)\right)$,

$$
q=1,2,3 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{j}=0, \tau_{0}, \tau_{1}
$$

$T$ is the Dyson ordering operator,
(ii) $\quad P\left(\tau_{j}-t_{w_{\mathrm{q}}}\right)=T \exp \left(-i \int_{\tau_{j}}^{\tau_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{t}{ }_{t_{\mathrm{q}}} \mathrm{d} t H^{\mathrm{B}}(t)\right)$,

$$
\tau_{j}=0, \quad \tau_{0}: \quad \tau_{k}=\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}
$$

(iii) $\quad H^{\mathrm{B}}(t)=\Delta_{k} S_{x}+H_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{S}}(t, 0)$.

Using the solution (10), we obtain the $X$-component of the macroscopic magnetic moment after the first and immediately after the third l.f. pulses

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{x}(t) & =\gamma \hbar \operatorname{Sp} \varrho^{*}(t) S_{x} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \gamma \hbar \beta \omega_{\mathrm{e}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}\right) \text { for } t_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}<t<\tau_{0} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$M_{x}(t)=\gamma \hbar \operatorname{Sp} \varrho^{*}(t) S_{x} \quad$ for $\tau_{0}+\tau_{1}+\tau_{\mathbf{w}_{3}}<t$.

Now we are going to show that in the case of a space inhomogeneity of the applied magnetic fields our results (12) and (13) give
(i) after the first l.f. pulse a zero macroscopic magnetic moment, if $\omega_{2} t_{w_{1}}=\pi / 2$,
(ii) after the third 1.f. pulse a new kind of NQR spin echo.

We have to use the results (12) and (13) for each spin packet and then to perform the space averaging

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}_{x}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\Omega_{k}^{\prime}-\Omega\right) M_{x} \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{k}^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the particular case $\omega_{2} \gg \Delta_{k} \gg\left\|H_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right\|$ with $t_{\mathrm{w}_{2}} \omega_{2}=\pi$, $t_{w_{1}} \omega_{2}=t_{w_{3}} \omega_{2}=\pi / 2$, and the width $\sigma$ of the Gaussian space distribution. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\bar{M}_{x}\left(t_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}<t<\tau_{0}\right)}{M_{x}(0)}=0  \tag{15}\\
& \frac{\bar{M}_{x}\left(\tau_{1}\right)}{M_{x}(0)}=\exp \left(-\left(\tau_{1}-\tau_{0}-t_{w_{3}}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2} / 2\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 2. The NQR spin echo in the effective field. $M_{x}$ versus $\tau_{1}$.
$M_{x}(0)$ is the quasi-equilibrium magnetization of the spin system in the spin-locking state.

To verify the theoretical predictions, a set of experiments was performed. With a home-made multiplepulse NQR spectrometer, the ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{NQR}$ in polycrystalline $\mathrm{KClO}_{3}$ was observed at 77 K and $\omega_{\mathrm{Q}}=$ 28.9538 MHz . The MW-4 multiple-pulse sequence $90^{\circ}-\left(t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2-\varphi-t_{\mathrm{c}} / 2\right)^{N}$ and the three pulse l.f. sequence $90^{\circ}-\tau_{0}-180^{\circ}-\tau_{1}-90^{\circ}$ were used.
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In Figs. 1 and 2 the results obtained are presented. Figure 1 shows $M_{x}(t)$ for the multiple-pulse sequence with $t_{\mathrm{c}}=50 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \varphi=\pi / 2, \Delta=0$ both in the absence of l.f. pulses (Fig. 1 a ) and in the presence of the three l.f. pulse sequence (Fig. 1 b ) with $\Omega_{0} / 2 \pi=5 \mathrm{kHz}, \tau_{0}=$ 0.8 ms . The value of the macroscopic magnetic moment after the first l.f. pulse is in satisfactory agreement with (15). It is not zero because a polycrystalline sample was used. Figure 2 shows $\bar{M}_{x}\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ immediately after the third 1.f. pulse. A spin echo appears at $t \approx 2 \tau_{0}\left(\tau_{1} \simeq \tau_{0}\right)$, in agreement with (16), but this expression doe not describe the complicated envelope of the echo. We suppose that this is due to the fact that oscillating terms were not taken into account in (3). The observed modulation of the echo envelope is not excited by dipole-dipole interactions. This was verified by the observation of the NMR spin echo in the effective field of the same multiple-pulse sequence on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclei in water, where dipole-dipole interactions are averaged. In these experiments the modulation of the spin echo was also observed.

The method reported here can be used for the study of problems of NQR relaxation and the line widths of the NQR absorption.
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